

Algebraic proof of Brooks' theorem*

Jan Hladký[†]Daniel Král[‡]Uwe Schauz[§]

Abstract

We give a proof of Brooks' theorem and its list coloring extension using the algebraic method of Alon and Tarsi; this also shows that the Brooks' theorem remains valid in a more general game coloring setting.

1 Introduction

One of the most famous theorems on graph colorings is Brooks' theorem [4] which asserts that every connected graph G with maximum degree Δ is Δ -colorable unless G is an odd cycle or a complete graph. Brooks' theorem has been extended in various directions, e.g., its list version can be found in [16], also see [6]. A short and elegant proof of Brooks' theorem was given in [7] by Lovász and an algebra-based proof in [14] by Tverberg. In this note, we give yet another proof of Brooks' theorem. Our proof is based on the algebraic method of Alon and Tarsi from [2] which also yields its list coloring version. In fact, the argument shows that Brooks' theorem remains valid in a more general setting of a coloring game of two players from [12, 13], which we later describe. Our proof can also be seen as the first step towards obtaining a variant of Brooks' theorem for circular colorings.

The algebraic method of Alon and Tarsi is based on studying of properties of a certain graph polynomial. This polynomial is closely related to the existence of special orientations with bounded in-degrees. We summarize this relation in the next theorem.

Theorem 1 (Alon and Tarsi [2]). *Let G be an oriented graph and d_v^+ the indegree of a vertex v . If the numbers of even and odd Eulerian subgraphs of G differ, then G can be colored from any lists $L(v)$ such that $|L(v)| \geq d_v^+ + 1$. In particular, if the maximum in-degree of G is k , G is list $(k + 1)$ -colorable.*

Let us remind that an *even Eulerian subgraph* is a spanning subgraph of G with even number of edges such that each vertex has the same in-degree and out-degree. Similarly, an *odd Eulerian subgraph* is such a subgraph with an odd number of edges. Even and odd Eulerian subgraphs do not need to be connected and can contain isolated vertices. Theorem 1 has been successfully applied to several coloring problems, e.g., showing that planar bipartite graphs are list 3-colorable [2]. We refer the reader to [1] and [11] for further applications of the algebraic tool behind this theorem.

In [13], the third author showed that the assumption of Theorem 1 implies a stronger graph property than list $(k + 1)$ -colorability, namely, $(k + 1)$ -paintability. Consider the following game played by two players, Mr. Paint and Mrs. Correct. At the beginning, each vertex of a graph G is equipped with k erasers. The players move in turns, Mr. Paint starting. In his turn, Mr. Paint

*This research was partially supported by the grant GA ČR 201/09/1097.

[†]Zentrum Mathematik, Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstraße 3, D-85747 Garching bei München, Germany, and Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Malostranské náměstí 25, 118 00 Prague, Czech Republic. E-mail: hladk@seznam.cz.

[‡]Institute for Theoretical Computer Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Malostranské náměstí 25, 118 00 Prague, Czech Republic. E-mail: kral@kam.mff.cuni.cz. The Institute for Theoretical Computer Science is supported by Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic as project 1M0545.

[§]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: schauz@kfupm.edu.sa

marks a non-empty subset of vertices; Mrs. Correct then removes an independent subset of marked vertices from the graph and clears marks of other vertices with their erasers. Mr. Paint wins if Mrs. Correct is unable to clear the mark of an unremoved vertex because all its erasers has been previously used. Mrs. Correct wins if all the vertices are eventually removed from the graph. If Mrs. Correct always wins, the graph is said to be $(k + 1)$ -paintable. The following theorem can be found in [13]:

Theorem 2. *Let G be an oriented graph and d_v^+ the indegree of a vertex v . If the numbers of even and odd Eulerian subgraphs of G differ, then Mrs. Correct always wins the game if every vertex v is initially equipped with d_v^+ erasers. In particular, if the maximum in-degree of G is k , G is $(k + 1)$ -paintable.*

It is not hard to observe that every $(k + 1)$ -paintable graph is also list $(k + 1)$ -colorable. The proof of Theorem 2 given in [13] is purely combinatorial and thus it gives a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.

Another motivation for an algebraic proof of Brooks' theorem stems from the area of circular colorings introduced in [15]. Circular colorings attracted a considerable amount of interest of researchers (see two recent surveys [17, 19] on the topic by Zhu). Classical and circular colorings are closely related, in particular, it holds that $\chi(G) = \lceil \chi_c(G) \rceil$ (we omit a formal definition of the circular chromatic number as it is not needed for further exposition). An analogous equality is not true for their list counterparts. The circular list chromatic number is always at least the list chromatic number decreased by one but it is not upper-bounded by any function of the list chromatic number [18].

Circular list colorings seem to be of surprising difficulty, e.g., Norine [8] only recently proved that the list chromatic number of even cycles is equal to two. In his proof, he has successfully applied the algebraic method of Alon and Tarsi from [2]. For another application of this method to circular list colorings, see [9, 10]. It seems natural to ask whether this approach can also be used to prove the variant of Brooks' theorem for circular list colorings, which is still not known [18], and the natural first step towards this goal is finding a proof of the classical Brooks' theorem based on the Alon and Tarsi method which we present in this short note.

2 Structural lemma

In this section, we give a structural lemma which allows us to apply the algebraic technique of Alon and Tarsi in our proof of Brooks' theorem. Recall that a Gallai tree is a graph whose every block is a complete graph or an odd cycle.

Lemma 3. *Every connected graph G that is not a Gallai tree contains an even cycle C with at most one chord as an induced subgraph.*

Proof. We prove the lemma in a series of five claims.

Claim 1. *The graph G contains a cycle C that induces neither a complete graph nor an odd cycle.*

Let H be a block of the block-decomposition of G that is neither a complete graph nor an odd cycle (if G is 2-connected, set H to be G).

Let C_0 be the longest cycle of H . Suppose that C_0 induces a complete graph or an odd cycle. Since H is neither a complete graph nor an odd cycle, C_0 does not contain all vertices of H . Hence, there exists a vertex v of C_0 with a neighbor v' not on C_0 . Let P be the shortest path from v' to $C_0 \setminus v$ in $H \setminus v$ which exists because H is 2-connected. Let w be the end of P on C_0 .

If C_0 induced a complete graph, we could assume that v and w are neighbors on C_0 . However, if v and w are neighbors, then the cycle C_0 could be prolonged by removing the edge vw and adding the edge vv' and the path P which would contradict the choice of C_0 . We conclude that C_0 induces an odd cycle and the vertices v and w are not neighbors on C_0 .

Let C_1 and C_2 be the two cycles formed by the edge vv' , the path P and one of the two parts of C_0 delimited by v and w . Since C_0 is odd, one of the cycles C_1 and C_2 is even, say C_1 . The

cycle C_1 does not induce a complete graph in H because the vertices v and w are not adjacent and thus C_1 is the sought cycle.

Claim 2. *Let $C = v_1 \dots v_\ell$ be the shortest cycle with the properties given in Claim 1. If $\ell \geq 5$, then no vertex of C is adjacent to all other vertices on C .*

Suppose that the vertex v_1 is adjacent to all the vertices $v_2, \dots, v_\ell$. By the choice of C , both the cycles $v_1 \dots v_{\ell-1}$ and $v_1 v_3 \dots v_\ell$ induce complete graphs. By the choice of C , the vertices v_2 and v_ℓ are not adjacent. However, the cycle $v_1 v_2 v_3 v_\ell$ is then a shorter cycle satisfying the properties of Claim 1.

Claim 3. *Let $C = v_1 \dots v_\ell$ be the shortest cycle with the properties given in Claim 1. Each vertex of C is incident with at most one chord.*

If $\ell = 4$, then C is a cycle of length four with at most one chord. Assume that $\ell \geq 5$ and that there exists a vertex, say v_1 , adjacent to vertices v_a and v_b , $3 \leq a < b \leq \ell - 2$. However, the cycle $v_1 \dots v_b$ or the cycle $v_1 v_a \dots v_\ell$ does not induce a complete graph (since v_1 is not adjacent to all vertices on C by Claim 2) or a cycle (since it has a chord $v_1 v_a$ or $v_1 v_b$). Hence, it is a shorter cycle with the properties given in Claim 1 which contradicts the choice of C .

Claim 4. *The shortest cycle $C = v_1 \dots v_\ell$ with the properties given in Claim 1 is even.*

Suppose that C is odd. Hence, C must have a chord, say $v_1 v_i$. One of the cycles $v_1 \dots v_i$ and $v_1 v_i \dots v_\ell$ is even; by symmetry we can assume that $v_1 \dots v_i$ is even. By the choice of C , $v_1, \dots, v_i$ must induce a complete graph and thus v_1 is adjacent to v_3 and v_i which is impossible by Claim 3.

Claim 5. *The shortest cycle $C = v_1 \dots v_\ell$ with the properties given in Claim 1 contains at most one chord.*

Suppose that C has at least two chords, say $v_a v_b$ and $v_c v_d$. If the two chords do not cross, we can assume without loss of generality that $1 \leq a < b < c < d \leq \ell$. But then the cycle $v_1 \dots v_a v_b \dots v_\ell$ would be a shorter cycle with the properties given in Claim 1. Hence, the chords $v_a v_b$ and $v_c v_d$ cross. By symmetry, we can assume that $1 \leq a < c < b < d \leq \ell$.

The vertices v_a, v_b, v_c and v_d split the cycle C into four parts; let n_{xy} , $x \in \{a, b\}$ and $y \in \{c, d\}$, be the number of vertices of C between v_x and v_y . If not all n_{xy} have the same parity, then there are two consecutive parts (viewed in the order they correspond to the parts of C) with different parities, say the parities of n_{ac} and n_{bc} are different. Then, the cycle $v_a \dots v_c \dots v_b$ is an even cycle satisfying the properties of Claim 1 which is shorter than C . Since this is impossible, we can assume that the parities of all the four numbers n_{xy} are the same and one, say n_{ad} , is non-zero. Now, the shorter even cycle $v_a \dots v_c v_d \dots v_b$ must induce a complete graph violating Claim 3 for C .

Claims 4 and 5 now imply the lemma. □

3 Main result

Before presenting our proof of Brooks' theorem, we need to recall a simple folklore structural result on ordering vertices of a connected graph. We include its proof for completeness.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a connected graph and v an arbitrary vertex of G . The vertices of G can be ordered in such a way that every vertex except for v is preceded by at least one of its neighbors.*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary spanning tree T of G and root it at v . The vertices of G are ordered in the following way: the first vertex is the root v followed by all its children (vertices of the second level of T). Then, all vertices of the third level are listed, then all vertices of the fourth level, etc. Since each vertex except for v is preceded by its parent, the obtained ordering has the desired property. □

We prove our main result in a more general setting of degree list colorability considered in [3, 5] and degree paintability. The list coloring version of Brooks' theorem asserts that every connected graph except for Gallai trees can be colored from lists such that each vertex has a list of size equal to its degree. If a graph can be colored from all such lists, we say that it is *list degree colorable* (in other words, a connected graph is list degree colorable if and only if it is not a Gallai tree). Similarly, we speak of a graph being degree paintable: a graph is *degree paintable* if Mrs. Correct always wins if the number of erasers at each vertex is initially the same as its degree decreased by one.

We are now ready to give the algebraic proof of Brooks' theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a connected graph. If G is not a Gallai tree, then G is list degree colorable and degree paintable.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that G has an orientation such that each vertex has at least one out-going edge and the numbers of even and odd Eulerian subgraphs differ. Theorems 1 and 2 would then imply the statement.

By Lemma 3, G contains an even cycle C with at most one chord. Contract C to a single vertex w in G and apply Lemma 4 to the resulting graph. We obtain an ordering $v_1, \dots, v_n$ of the vertices of G by replacing w in the order given by Lemma 4 with the vertices of C , inserted in an arbitrary order. The edges of C are oriented in a cyclic way. Every edge $v_i v_j$, $i < j$, that is not contained in C , is now oriented from v_j to v_i (this rule also applies to the chord of C if it exists).

There are always two even Eulerian subgraphs of the constructed orientation of G , in particular, the empty one and the one formed by the cycle C . If C contains a chord e , there is also an Eulerian subgraph formed by the chord and one of the two parts delimited by e , which is either even or odd. Since there are no other Eulerian subgraphs, the numbers of odd and even Eulerian subgraphs must differ. Since every vertex has at least one out-going edge, the statement of the theorem now follows. $\square$

Theorem 5 now yields the following:

Corollary 6. *Let G be a connected graph with maximum degree Δ . If G is neither a complete graph nor an odd cycle, then G is list Δ -colorable and Δ -paintable.*

Acknowledgement

The third author gratefully acknowledges the support provided by the King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals during this research.

References

- [1] N. Alon: *Combinatorial Nullstellensatz*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **8** (1999), 7–29.
- [2] N. Alon, M. Tarsi: *Colorings and orientations of graphs*, Combinatorica **12** (1992), 125–134.
- [3] O. V. Borodin: *Criterion of chromaticity of a degree prescription*, in: Abstracts of IV All-Union Conf. on Th. Cybernetics (Novosibirsk, 1977), 127–128.
- [4] R. L. Brooks: *On colouring the nodes of a network*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **37** (1941), 194–197.
- [5] P. Erdős, A. L. Rubin, H. Taylor: *Choosability in graphs*, in: Proc. West Coast Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing. Congressus Numerantium XXVI (1979), 125–157.
- [6] A. V. Kostochka, M. Stiebitz, B. Wirth: *The colour theorems of Brooks and Gallai extended*, Discrete Math. **162** (1996), 299–303.

- [7] L. Lovász: *Three short proofs in graph theory*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **19** (1975), 269–271.
- [8] S. Norine: *On two questions about circular choosability*, J. Graph Theory **58** (2008), 261–269.
- [9] S. Norine, T.-L. Wong, X. Zhu: *Circular choosability via combinatorial Nullstellensatz*, J. Graph Theory **59** (2008), 190–204.
- [10] S. Norine, X. Zhu: *Circular degree choosability*, Electron. J. Combin. **15(1)** (2008), R#100, 8 pp.
- [11] U. Schauz: *Algebraically solvable problems: describing polynomials as equivalent to explicit solutions*, Electron. J. Combin. **15(1)** (2008), R#10, 35 pp.
- [12] U. Schauz: *Mr. Paint and Mrs. Correct*, Electron. J. Combin. **16(1)** (2009), R#77, 18 pp.
- [13] U. Schauz: *Flexible color lists in Alon and Tarsi’s theorem, and time scheduling with unreliable participants*, submitted to Electron. J. Combin.
- [14] H. Tverberg: *Some remarks on the four-colour theorem*, manuscript.
- [15] A. Vince: *Star chromatic number*, J. Graph Theory **12** (1988), 551–559.
- [16] V. G. Vizing: *Colouring the vertices of a graph with prescribed colours* (in Russian), Metody Diskretnogo Analiza Teorii Kodov i Skhem **29** (1976), 3–10.
- [17] X. Zhu: *Circular chromatic number: a survey*, Discrete Math. **229** (2001), 371–410.
- [18] X. Zhu: *Circular choosability of graphs*, J. Graph Theory **48** (2005), 210–218.
- [19] X. Zhu: *Recent developments in circular colorings of graphs*, in M. Klazar, J. Kratochvíl, J. Matoušek, R. Thomas, P. Valtr (eds.): Topics in Discrete Mathematics, Springer, 2006, 497–550.